

Appendix E
Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan

Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan

For:

Ashley Furniture HomeStore

DAP-001-745 & 001-756

Prepared for:

HMC Construction

1461 E. Cooley Dr. Ste 230

Colton, CA. 92324

(909) 783-3020

Prepared by:

WJ McKeever Inc

Insert Address31419 Outer Highway 10, Ste. 2-200

Redlandsm CA 92373

Insert Telephone

Submittal Date: September 14 2022


Revision Date: --

Approval Date: _____

Project Owner's Certification

This Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for Ashley Real Estate LLC by WJ McKeever Inc.. The WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of the City of Colton and the NPDES Areawide Stormwater Program requiring the preparation of a WQMP. The undersigned, while it owns the subject property, is responsible for the implementation of the provisions of this plan and will ensure that this plan is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site consistent with San Bernardino County's Municipal Storm Water Management Program and the intent of the NPDES Permit for San Bernardino County and the incorporated cities of San Bernardino County within the Santa Ana Region. Once the undersigned transfers its interest in the property, its successors in interest and the city/county shall be notified of the transfer. The new owner will be informed of its responsibility under this WQMP. A copy of the approved WQMP shall be available on the subject site in perpetuity.

"I certify under a penalty of law that the provisions (implementation, operation, maintenance, and funding) of the WQMP have been accepted and that the plan will be transferred to future successors."

Project Data			
Permit/Application Number(s):		Grading Permit Number(s):	
Tract/Parcel Map Number(s):		Building Permit Number(s):	
CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract):			APN: 276-131-92 & 276-131-90
Owner's Signature			
Owner Name: Todd R. Wanek			
Title	President and CEO		
Company	Ashley Real Estate LLC		
Address	One Ashley Way, Arcadia, WI 54612		
Email	aaron@hodgdongroup.com		
Telephone #	(909) 783-3020		
Signature			Date August 22, 2002

Preparer's Certification

Project Data			
Permit/Application Number(s):		Grading Permit Number(s):	
Tract/Parcel Map Number(s):		Building Permit Number(s):	
CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract):			APN: 276-131-92 & 276-131-90

“The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control measures in this plan were prepared under my oversight and meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R8-2010-0036.”

Engineer: Steven L. Ellis		PE Stamp Below 
Title	P.E.	
Company	WJ McKeever Inc	
Address	31419 Outer Highway 10, Ste. 2-200 Redlands, CA 92373	
Email	office@wjmckeeverinc.com	
Telephone #	(909)389-0200	
Signature	<i>Steven L. Ellis</i>	
Date	9/14/2022	

Table of Contents

Section 1	Discretionary Permits	1-1
Section 2	Project Description	2-1
	2.1 Project Information.....	2-1
	2.2 Property Ownership / Management	2-2
	2.3 Potential Stormwater Pollutants	2-3
	2.4 Water Quality Credits	2-4
Section 3	Site and Watershed Description	3-1
Section 4	Best Management Practices	4-1
	4.1 Source Control BMP	4-1
	4.1.1 Pollution Prevention	4-1
	4.1.2 Preventative LID Site Design Practices	4-6
	4.2 Project Performance Criteria.....	4-7
	4.3 Project Conformance Analysis.....	4-12
	4.3.1 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP	4-14
	4.3.2 Infiltration BMP	4-16
	4.3.3 Harvest and Use BMP	4-18
	4.3.4 Biotreatment BMP.....	4-19
	4.3.5 Conformance Summary.....	4-23
	4.3.6 Hydromodification Control BMP	4-24
	4.4 Alternative Compliance Plan (if applicable)	4-25
Section 5	Inspection & Maintenance Responsibility Post Construction BMPs.....	5-1
Section 6	Site Plan and Drainage Plan.....	6-1
	6.1. Site Plan and Drainage Plan.....	6-1
	6.2 Electronic Data Submittal	6-1

Forms

Form 1-1	Project Information	1-1
Form 2.1-1	Description of Proposed Project	2-1
Form 2.2-1	Property Ownership/Management	2-2
Form 2.3-1	Pollutants of Concern	2-3
Form 2.4-1	Water Quality Credits	2-4
Form 3-1	Site Location and Hydrologic Features	3-1
Form 3-2	Hydrologic Characteristics.....	3-2
Form 3-3	Watershed Description.....	3-3
Form 4.1-1	Non-Structural Source Control BMP.....	4-2
Form 4.1-2	Structural Source Control BMP	4-4
Form 4.1-3	Site Design Practices Checklist.....	4-6
Form 4.2-1	LID BMP Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume	4-7
Form 4.2-2	Summary of HCOG Assessment.....	4-8
Form 4.2-3	HCOG Assessment for Runoff Volume	4-9
Form 4.2-4	HCOG Assessment for Time of Concentration	4-10

Form 4.2-5 HCOC Assessment for Peak Runoff.....	4-11
Form 4.3-1 Infiltration BMP Feasibility	4-13
Form 4.3-2 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP	4-14
Form 4.3-3 Infiltration LID BMP.....	4-17
Form 4.3-4 Harvest and Use BMP	4-18
Form 4.3-5 Selection and Evaluation of Biotreatment BMP	4-19
Form 4.3-6 Volume Based Biotreatment – Bioretention and Planter Boxes w/Underdrains	4-20
Form 4.3-7 Volume Based Biotreatment- Constructed Wetlands and Extended Detention	4-21
Form 4.3-8 Flow Based Biotreatment	4-22
Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate	4-23
Form 4.3-10 Hydromodification Control BMP	4-24
Form 5-1 BMP Inspection and Maintenance	5-1

Insert Appendix Title if Applicable - Otherwise, please delete text

Insert Appendix Title if Applicable - Otherwise, please delete text

Insert Appendix Title if Applicable - Otherwise, please delete text

Insert Appendix Title if Applicable - Otherwise, please delete text

Insert Appendix Title if Applicable - Otherwise, please delete text

Section 1 Discretionary Permit(s)

Form 1-1 Project Information					
Project Name		Ashley Furniture HomeStore Expansion			
Project Owner Contact Name:		Ashley Real Estate LLC			
Mailing Address:		E-mail Address:		Telephone:	
Permit/Application Number(s):		Development Review DAP-001-745 & 001-746		Tract/Parcel Map Number(s):	
Additional Information/ Comments:					
Description of Project:		Proposed redevelopment of 140,342.33 SF of existing project site including the expansion of Ashley HomeStore building, repaving of parking lots, addition of new landscape areas, etc.			
Provide summary of Conceptual WQMP conditions (if previously submitted and approved). Attach complete copy.		<p>The entire project site is currently an existing, fully operational site comprised primarily of impervious area, with existing drainage improvements managing storm water generated by the project site. The proposed redevelopment requires minimal new drainage improvements, with the bulk of the new drainage improvements being used to manage WQMP needs.</p> <p>The redevelopment is comprised mainly of the expansion of the Ashley HomeStore building, repaving and slight expansion of the parking lot, and the addition of new landscape areas within the parking lot and around the buildings. The addition of these landscape areas results in a decreased impervious footprint in the post-project condition when compared to the pre-project condition.</p> <p>Flows from the redeveloped building and parking lot will directed to landscape areas (HSC) and catch basins that lead to an onsite storm drain system. Flows will enter an underground WQMP gallery (Infiltration LID BMP) where they will be treated. Excess flows entering the gallery will be discharged into the existing onsite storm drain system.</p> <p>The sizing for the underground gallery is based solely on WQMP needs, as the site generates less storm water in the post-project condition when compared to that of the pre-project condition.</p>			

Section 2 Project Description

2.1 Project Information

This section of the WQMP should provide the information listed below. The information provided for Conceptual/ Preliminary WQMP should give sufficient detail to identify the major proposed site design and LID BMPs and other anticipated water quality features that impact site planning. Final Project WQMP must specifically identify all BMP incorporated into the final site design and provide other detailed information as described herein.

The purpose of this information is to help determine the applicable development category, pollutants of concern, watershed description, and long term maintenance responsibilities for the project, and any applicable water quality credits. This information will be used in conjunction with the information in Section 3, Site Description, to establish the performance criteria and to select the LID BMP or other BMP for the project or other alternative programs that the project will participate in, which are described in Section 4.

Form 2.1-1 Description of Proposed Project					
1 Development Category (Select all that apply):					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant re-development involving the addition or replacement of 5,000 ft ² or more of impervious surface on an already developed site	<input type="checkbox"/> New development involving the creation of 10,000 ft ² or more of impervious surface collectively over entire site	<input type="checkbox"/> Automotive repair shops with standard industrial classification (SIC) codes 5013, 5014, 5541, 7532- 7534, 7536-7539	<input type="checkbox"/> Restaurants (with SIC code 5812) where the land area of development is 5,000 ft ² or more		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hillside developments of 5,000 ft ² or more which are located on areas with known erosive soil conditions or where the natural slope is 25 percent or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Developments of 2,500 ft ² of impervious surface or more adjacent to (within 200 ft) or discharging directly into environmentally sensitive areas or waterbodies listed on the CWA Section 303(d) list of impaired waters.	<input type="checkbox"/> Parking lots of 5,000 ft ² or more exposed to storm water	<input type="checkbox"/> Retail gasoline outlets that are either 5,000 ft ² or more, or have a projected average daily traffic of 100 or more vehicles per day		
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Priority / Non-Category Project <i>May require source control LID BMPs and other LIP requirements. Please consult with local jurisdiction on specific requirements.</i>					
2 Project Area (ft ²):	140,342.33	3 Number of Dwelling Units:	0	4 SIC Code:	
5 Is Project going to be phased? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, ensure that the WQMP evaluates each phase as a distinct DA, requiring LID BMPs to address runoff at time of completion.</i>					
6 Does Project include roads? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, ensure that applicable requirements for transportation projects are addressed (see Appendix A of TGD for WQMP)</i>					

2.3 Potential Stormwater Pollutants

Determine and describe expected stormwater pollutants of concern based on land uses and site activities (refer to Table 3-3 in the TGD for WQMP).

Form 2.3-1 Pollutants of Concern			
Pollutant	Please check: E=Expected, N=Not Expected		Additional Information and Comments
	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	
Pathogens (Bacterial / Virus)	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Trash and waste locations are a source for bacteria. Waste areas will be located within covered structures.
Nutrients - Phosphorous	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor amounts in landscape areas. Landscaping is also drought tolerant.
Nutrients - Nitrogen	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor amounts in landscape areas. Landscaping is also drought tolerant.
Noxious Aquatic Plants	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Sediment	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor amounts in landscape areas. No other open sediment areas will be on-site
Metals	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Parking lot and loading area only, primarily from passenger cars.
Oil and Grease	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Parking lot and loading area only, primarily from passenger cars..
Trash/Debris	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Trash and waste locations within covered structures.
Pesticides / Herbicides	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor amounts in landscape areas. Landscaping is also drought tolerant. Similar to typical residential use.
Organic Compounds	E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor amounts in landscape areas. Landscaping is also drought tolerant.
Other:	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	
Other:	E <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	

2.4 Water Quality Credits

A water quality credit program is applicable for certain types of development projects if it is not feasible to meet the requirements for on-site LID. Proponents for eligible projects, as described below, can apply for water quality credits that would reduce project obligations for selecting and sizing other treatment BMP or participating in other alternative compliance programs. Refer to Section 6.2 in the TGD for WQMP to determine if water quality credits are applicable for the project.

Form 2.4-1 Water Quality Credits			
1 Project Types that Qualify for Water Quality Credits: <i>Select all that apply</i>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Redevelopment projects that reduce the overall impervious footprint of the project site. [Credit = % impervious reduced]	Higher density development projects <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical density [20%] <input type="checkbox"/> 7 units/ acre [5%]	<input type="checkbox"/> Mixed use development, (combination of residential, commercial, industrial, office, institutional, or other land uses which incorporate design principles that demonstrate environmental benefits not realized through single use projects) [20%]	<input type="checkbox"/> Brownfield redevelopment (redevelop real property complicated by presence or potential of hazardous contaminants) [25%]
<input type="checkbox"/> Redevelopment projects in established historic district, historic preservation area, or similar significant core city center areas [10%]	<input type="checkbox"/> Transit-oriented developments (mixed use residential or commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation) [20%]	<input type="checkbox"/> In-fill projects (conversion of empty lots & other underused spaces < 5 acres, substantially surrounded by urban land uses, into more beneficially used spaces, such as residential or commercial areas) [10%]	<input type="checkbox"/> Live-Work developments (variety of developments designed to support residential and vocational needs) [20%]
2 Total Credit % <i>(Total all credit percentages up to a maximum allowable credit of 50 percent)</i>			
Description of Water Quality Credit Eligibility (if applicable)	Not Applicable		

Section 3 Site and Watershed Description

Describe the project site conditions that will facilitate the selection of BMP through an analysis of the physical conditions and limitations of the site and its receiving waters. Identify distinct drainage areas (DA) that collect flow from a portion of the site and describe how runoff from each DA (and sub-watershed DMAs) is conveyed to the site outlet(s). Refer to Section 3.2 in the TGD for WQMP. The form below is provided as an example. Then complete Forms 3.2 and 3.3 for each DA on the project site. ***If the project has more than one drainage area for stormwater management, then complete additional versions of these forms for each DA / outlet.***

Form 3-1 Site Location and Hydrologic Features			
Site coordinates <i>take GPS measurement at approximate center of site</i>	Latitude 34.057430	Longitude -117.299380	Thomas Bros Map page
¹ San Bernardino County climatic region: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Valley <input type="checkbox"/> Mountain			
² Does the site have more than one drainage area (DA): Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If no, proceed to Form 3-2. If yes, then use this form to show a conceptual schematic describing DMAs and hydrologic feature connecting DMAs to the site outlet(s). An example is provided below that can be modified for proposed project or a drawing clearly showing DMA and flow routing may be attached</i>			
<pre> graph TD DA1DMAA[DA1 DMA A] --> Outlet1[Outlet 1] DA1DMAB[DA 1 DMA B] --> Outlet1 DA1DMAC[DA1 DMA C] --> DA1DMAA DA2[DA2] --> Outlet2[Outlet 2] </pre>			
Example only – modify for project specific WQMP using additional form			
Conveyance	Briefly describe on-site drainage features to convey runoff that is not retained within a DMA		
DA1 DMA C flows to DA1 DMA A	Ex. Bioretention overflow to vegetated bioswale with 4' bottom width, 5:1 side slopes and bed slope of 0.01. Conveys runoff for 1000' through DMA 1 to existing catch basin on SE corner of property		
DA1 DMA A to Outlet 1			
DA1 DMA B to Outlet 1			
DA2 to Outlet 2			

Form 3-2 Existing Hydrologic Characteristics for Drainage Area 1				
For Drainage Area 1's sub-watershed DMA, provide the following characteristics	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA D
1 DMA drainage area (ft ²)	80,766.99	51,101.87	8,473.47	
2 Existing site impervious area (ft ²)	77,650.56	48,450.29	8,098.74	
3 Antecedent moisture condition <i>For desert areas, use http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/floodcontrol/pdf/20100412_map.pdf</i>	II	II	II	
4 Hydrologic soil group <i>Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool – http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/</i>	B	B	B	
5 Longest flowpath length (ft)	109.93	261.07	280.25	
6 Longest flowpath slope (ft/ft)	0.0229	0.0290	0.0074	
7 Current land cover type(s) <i>Select from Fig C-3 of Hydrology Manual</i>	56	56	56	
8 Pre-developed pervious area condition: <i>Based on the extent of wet season vegetated cover good >75%; Fair 50-75%; Poor <50% Attach photos of site to support rating</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor	

Form 3-2 Existing Hydrologic Characteristics for Drainage Area 1 (use only as needed for additional DMA w/in DA 1)				
For Drainage Area 1's sub-watershed DMA, provide the following characteristics	DMA E	DMA F	DMA G	DMA H
1 DMA drainage area (ft ²)				
2 Existing site impervious area (ft ²)				
3 Antecedent moisture condition <i>For desert areas, use http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/floodcontrol/pdf/20100412_map.pdf</i>				
4 Hydrologic soil group <i>Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool – http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/</i>				
5 Longest flowpath length (ft)				
6 Longest flowpath slope (ft/ft)				
7 Current land cover type(s) <i>Select from Fig C-3 of Hydrology Manual</i>				
8 Pre-developed pervious area condition: <i>Based on the extent of wet season vegetated cover good >75%; Fair 50-75%; Poor <50% Attach photos of site to support rating</i>				

Form 3-3 Watershed Description for Drainage Area	
Receiving waters Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool - http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/ See "Drainage Facilities" link at this website	
Applicable TMDLs Refer to Local Implementation Plan	
303(d) listed impairments Refer to Local Implementation Plan and Watershed Mapping Tool – http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/ and State Water Resources Control Board website – http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/water_iss/ues/programs/tmdl/index.shtml	
Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool – http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/	
Unlined Downstream Water Bodies Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool – http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/	
Hydrologic Conditions of Concern	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes Complete Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Assessment. Include Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 and Hydromodification BMP Form 4.3-10 in submittal <input type="checkbox"/> No
Watershed-based BMP included in a RWQCB approved WAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes Attach verification of regional BMP evaluation criteria in WAP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More Effective than On-site LID • Remaining Capacity for Project DCV • Upstream of any Water of the US • Operational at Project Completion • Long-Term Maintenance Plan <input type="checkbox"/> No

Section 4 Best Management Practices (BMP)

4.1 Source Control BMP

4.1.1 Pollution Prevention

Non-structural and structural source control BMP are required to be incorporated into all new development and significant redevelopment projects. Form 4.1-1 and 4.1-2 are used to describe specific source control BMPs used in the WQMP or to explain why a certain BMP is not applicable. Table 7-3 of the TGD for WQMP provides a list of applicable source control BMP for projects with specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities. The source control BMP in this table must be implemented for projects with these specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities.

The preparers of this WQMP have reviewed the source control BMP requirements for new development and significant redevelopment projects. The preparers have also reviewed the specific BMP required for project as specified in Forms 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. All applicable non-structural and structural source control BMP shall be implemented in the project.

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)

Form 4.1-1 Non-Structural Source Control BMPs				
Identifier	Name	Check One		Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason
		Included	Not Applicable	
N1	Education of Property Owners, Tenants and Occupants on Stormwater BMPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Will be included in the FWQMP.
N2	Activity Restrictions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All on-site activities will be regulated.
N3	Landscape Management BMPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project is being landscaped with a modern, drought tolerant design. Minimal fertilizers and pesticides will be required.
N4	BMP Maintenance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	As a part of the O&M, BMP maintenance will be implemented. Specific BMP maintenance procedures, frequency and efficiency parameters will be included in the FWQMP.
N5	Title 22 CCR Compliance (How development will comply)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
N6	Local Water Quality Ordinances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drought tolerant landscaping is planned for the site
N7	Spill Contingency Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All hazardous materials will be carefully managed inside the facility.
N8	Underground Storage Tank Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
N9	Hazardous Materials Disclosure Compliance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All hazardous materials will be carefully managed inside the facility.

Form 4.1-1 Non-Structural Source Control BMPs				
Identifier	Name	Check One		Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason
		Included	Not Applicable	
N10	Uniform Fire Code Implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project site and building must meet State of California OSA Fire Code requirements.
N11	Litter/Debris Control Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project site and building will be maintained to specific cleanliness standards.
N12	Employee Training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Will be included in the FWQMP.
N13	Housekeeping of Loading Docks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not a part of planned use.
N14	Catch Basin Inspection Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	As a part of the O&M program, catch basins and the storm drain system will be inspected and cleaned on an annual basis.
N15	Vacuum Sweeping of Private Streets and Parking Lots	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	As a part of the O&M program, vacuum sweeping of the parking lot will be inspected and cleaned on a monthly basis.
N16	Other Non-structural Measures for Public Agency Projects	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
N17	Comply with all other applicable NPDES permits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	During construction the project will be regulated under the Construction General Permit.

Form 4.1-2 Structural Source Control BMPs				
Identifier	Name	Check One		Describe BMP Implementation OR, If not applicable, state reason
		Included	Not Applicable	
S1	Provide storm drain system stenciling and signage (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-13)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stenciling of the on-site catch basins is noted on the plans.
S2	Design and construct outdoor material storage areas to reduce pollution introduction (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-34)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S3	Design and construct trash and waste storage areas to reduce pollution introduction (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-32)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	On-site trash enclosure will be an enclosed unit.
S4	Use efficient irrigation systems & landscape design, water conservation, smart controllers, and source control (Statewide Model Landscape Ordinance; CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drought tolerant landscaping is included in the project.
S5	Finish grade of landscaped areas at a minimum of 1-2 inches below top of curb, sidewalk, or pavement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landscape areas are depressed below the level of the adjacent curb.
S6	Protect slopes and channels and provide energy dissipation (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-10)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S7	Covered dock areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-31)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loading and dock areas to be covered.
S8	Covered maintenance bays with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-31)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S9	Vehicle wash areas with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S10	Covered outdoor processing areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-36)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Form 4.1-2 Structural Source Control BMPs

Identifier	Name	Check One		Describe BMP Implementation OR, If not applicable, state reason
		Included	Not Applicable	
S11	Equipment wash areas with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S12	Fueling areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-30)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S13	Hillside landscaping (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-10)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S14	Wash water control for food preparation areas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
S15	Community car wash racks (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

4.1.2 Preventative LID Site Design Practices

Site design practices associated with new LID requirements in the MS4 Permit should be considered in the earliest phases of a project. Preventative site design practices can result in smaller DCV for LID BMP and hydromodification control BMP by reducing runoff generation. Describe site design and drainage plan including:

- A narrative of site design practices utilized or rationale for not using practices
- A narrative of how site plan incorporates preventive site design practices
- Include an attached Site Plan layout which shows how preventative site design practices are included in WQMP

Refer to Section 5.2 of the TGD for WQMP for more details.

Form 4.1-3 Preventative LID Site Design Practices Checklist
<p>Site Design Practices <i>If yes, explain how preventative site design practice is addressed in project site plan. If no, other LID BMPs must be selected to meet targets</i></p>
<p>Minimize impervious areas: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: Increased landscape areas result in a decrease to the impervious footprint of the project site.</p>
<p>Maximize natural infiltration capacity: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: As much as possible within underground infiltration gallery.</p>
<p>Preserve existing drainage patterns and time of concentration: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: Storm runoff drains to the same locations as the pre-project condition. All time of concentrations have been increased due to the proposed project.</p>
<p>Disconnect impervious areas: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: Parking lot and building drain to separate catch basin inlets.</p>
<p>Protect existing vegetation and sensitive areas: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Explanation: No existing undeveloped vegetation.</p>
<p>Re-vegetate disturbed areas: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: Site will be landscaped with drought tolerant landscaping.</p>
<p>Minimize unnecessary compaction in stormwater retention/infiltration basin/trench areas: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: BMPs to be constructed with additional gravel to maximize infiltration time.</p>
<p>Utilize vegetated drainage swales in place of underground piping or imperviously lined swales: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Explanation: No drainage swales on-site</p>
<p>Stake off areas that will be used for landscaping to minimize compaction during construction : Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation: All landscape areas will be within constructed curb islands/fingers.</p>

4.2 Project Performance Criteria

The purpose of this section of the Project WQMP is to establish targets for post-development hydrology based on performance criteria specified in the MS4 Permit. These targets include runoff volume for water quality control (referred to as LID design capture volume), and runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff for protection of any downstream waterbody segments with a HCOC. ***If the project has more than one outlet for stormwater runoff, then complete additional versions of these forms for each DA / outlet.***

Methods applied in the following forms include:

- For LID BMP Design Capture Volume (DCV), the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires use of the P₆ method (MS4 Permit Section XI.D.6a.ii) – Form 4.2-1
- For HCOC pre- and post-development hydrologic calculation, the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires the use of the Rational Method (San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual Section D). Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 calculate hydrologic variables including runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff from the project site pre- and post-development using the Hydrology Manual Rational Method approach. For projects greater than 640 acres (1.0 mi²), the Rational Method and these forms should not be used. For such projects, the Unit Hydrograph Method (San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual Section E) shall be applied for hydrologic calculations for HCOC performance criteria.

Refer to Section 4 in the TGD for WQMP for detailed guidance and instructions.

Form 4.2-1 LID BMP Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume (DA 1)	
1 Project area DA 1 (ft ²): 140,342.33	2 Imperviousness after applying preventative site design practices (Imp%): 90.60%
3 Runoff Coefficient (Rc): <u>0.739</u> $R_c = 0.858(Imp\%)^{0.3} - 0.78(Imp\%)^{0.2} + 0.774(Imp\%) + 0.04$	
4 Determine 1-hour rainfall depth for a 2-year return period P _{2yr-1hr} (in): 0.480 http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/so/sca_pfds.html	
5 Compute P ₆ , Mean 6-hr Precipitation (inches): 0.711 <i>P₆ = Item 4 * C₁, where C₁ is a function of site climatic region specified in Form 3-1 Item 1 (Valley = 1.4807; Mountain = 1.909; Desert = 1.2371)</i>	
6 Drawdown Rate Use 48 hours as the default condition. Selection and use of the 24 hour drawdown time condition is subject to approval by the local jurisdiction. The necessary BMP footprint is a function of drawdown time. While shorter drawdown times reduce the performance criteria for LID BMP design capture volume, the depth of water that can be stored is also reduced.	24-hrs <input type="checkbox"/> 48-hrs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7 Compute design capture volume, DCV (ft ³): 12,060.11 $DCV = 1/12 * [Item 1 * Item 3 * Item 5 * C_2]$, where C ₂ is a function of drawdown rate (24-hr = 1.582; 48-hr = 1.963) Compute separate DCV for each outlet from the project site per schematic drawn in Form 3-1 Item 2	

Form 4.2-2 Summary of HCOC Assessment (DA 1)

Does project have the potential to cause or contribute to an HCOC in a downstream channel: Yes No

Go to: <http://permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap/>

If "Yes", then complete HCOC assessment of site hydrology for 2yr storm event using Forms 4.2-3 through 4.2-5 and insert results below
(Forms 4.2-3 through 4.2-5 may be replaced by computer software analysis based on the San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual)

If "No," then proceed to Section 4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

Condition	Runoff Volume (ft ³)	Time of Concentration (min)	Peak Runoff (cfs)
Pre-developed	1 <i>Form 4.2-3 Item 12</i>	2 <i>Form 4.2-4 Item 13</i>	3 <i>Form 4.2-5 Item 10</i>
Post-developed	4 <i>Form 4.2-3 Item 13</i>	5 <i>Form 4.2-4 Item 14</i>	6 <i>Form 4.2-5 Item 14</i>
Difference	7 <i>Item 4 – Item 1</i>	8 <i>Item 2 – Item 5</i>	9 <i>Item 6 – Item 3</i>
Difference (as % of pre-developed)	10 % <i>Item 7 / Item 1</i>	11 % <i>Item 8 / Item 2</i>	12 % <i>Item 9 / Item 3</i>

Form 4.2-3 HCOC Assessment for Runoff Volume (DA 1)

Weighted Curve Number Determination for: Pre-developed DA	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA D	DMA E	DMA F	DMA G	DMA H
1a Land Cover type								
2a Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)								
3a DMA Area, ft ² sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA								
4a Curve Number (CN) use Items 1 and 2 to select the appropriate CN from Appendix C-2 of the TGD for WQMP								
Weighted Curve Number Determination for: Post-developed DA	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA D	DMA E	DMA F	DMA G	DMA H
1b Land Cover type								
2b Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)								
3b DMA Area, ft ² sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA								
4b Curve Number (CN) use Items 5 and 6 to select the appropriate CN from Appendix C-2 of the TGD for WQMP								
5 Pre-Developed area-weighted CN:	7 Pre-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): $S = (1000 / \text{Item } 5) - 10$				9 Initial abstraction, I _a (in): $I_a = 0.2 * \text{Item } 7$			
6 Post-Developed area-weighted CN:	8 Post-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): $S = (1000 / \text{Item } 6) - 10$				10 Initial abstraction, I _a (in): $I_a = 0.2 * \text{Item } 8$			
11 Precipitation for 2 yr, 24 hr storm (in): Go to: http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/qa/sca_pfds.html								
12 Pre-developed Volume (ft ³): $V_{pre} = (1 / 12) * (\text{Item sum of Item } 3) * [(\text{Item } 11 - \text{Item } 9)^2 / ((\text{Item } 11 - \text{Item } 9 + \text{Item } 7))$								
13 Post-developed Volume (ft ³): $V_{pre} = (1 / 12) * (\text{Item sum of Item } 3) * [(\text{Item } 11 - \text{Item } 10)^2 / ((\text{Item } 11 - \text{Item } 10 + \text{Item } 8))$								
14 Volume Reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement, (ft ³): $V_{HCOC} = (\text{Item } 13 * 0.95) - \text{Item } 12$								

Form 4.2-4 HCOC Assessment for Time of Concentration (DA 1)

Compute time of concentration for pre and post developed conditions for each DA (For projects using the Hydrology Manual complete the form below)

Variables	Pre-developed DA1 <i>Use additional forms if there are more than 4 DMA</i>				Post-developed DA1 <i>Use additional forms if there are more than 4 DMA</i>			
	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA D	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA D
1 Length of flowpath (ft) <i>Use Form 3-2 Item 5 for pre-developed condition</i>								
2 Change in elevation (ft)								
3 Slope (ft/ft), $S_o = \text{Item 2} / \text{Item 1}$								
4 Land cover								
5 Initial DMA Time of Concentration (min) <i>Appendix C-1 of the TGD for WQMP</i>								
6 Length of conveyance from DMA outlet to project site outlet (ft) <i>May be zero if DMA outlet is at project site outlet</i>								
7 Cross-sectional area of channel (ft ²)								
8 Wetted perimeter of channel (ft)								
9 Manning's roughness of channel (n)								
10 Channel flow velocity (ft/sec) $V_{fps} = (1.49 / \text{Item 9}) * (\text{Item 7}/\text{Item 8})^{0.67} * (\text{Item 3})^{0.5}$								
11 Travel time to outlet (min) $T_t = \text{Item 6} / (\text{Item 10} * 60)$								
12 Total time of concentration (min) $T_c = \text{Item 5} + \text{Item 11}$								
13 Pre-developed time of concentration (min):	<i>Minimum of Item 12 pre-developed DMA</i>							
14 Post-developed time of concentration (min):	<i>Minimum of Item 12 post-developed DMA</i>							
15 Additional time of concentration needed to meet HCOC requirement (min):	$T_{C-HCOC} = (\text{Item 13} * 0.95) - \text{Item 14}$							

Form 4.2-5 HCOC Assessment for Peak Runoff (DA 1)

Compute peak runoff for pre- and post-developed conditions

Variables	Pre-developed DA to Project Outlet (Use additional forms if more than 3 DMA)			Post-developed DA to Project Outlet (Use additional forms if more than 3 DMA)		
	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C	DMA A	DMA B	DMA C
1 Rainfall Intensity for storm duration equal to time of concentration $I_{peak} = 10^{(LOG Form 4.2-1 Item 4 - 0.6 LOG Form 4.2-4 Item 5 / 60)}$						
2 Drainage Area of each DMA (Acres) <i>For DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C)</i>						
3 Ratio of pervious area to total area <i>For DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C)</i>						
4 Pervious area infiltration rate (in/hr) <i>Use pervious area CN and antecedent moisture condition with Appendix C-3 of the TGD for WQMP</i>						
5 Maximum loss rate (in/hr) $F_m = Item 3 * Item 4$ <i>Use area-weighted F_m from DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C)</i>						
6 Peak Flow from DMA (cfs) $Q_p = Item 2 * 0.9 * (Item 1 - Item 5)$						
7 Time of concentration adjustment factor for other DMA to site discharge point <i>Form 4.2-4 Item 12 DMA / Other DMA upstream of site discharge point (If ratio is greater than 1.0, then use maximum value of 1.0)</i>	DMA A	n/a		n/a		
	DMA B		n/a		n/a	
	DMA C		n/a			n/a
8 Pre-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA A: $Q_p = Item 6_{DMAA} + [Item 6_{DMAB} * (Item 1_{DMAA} - Item 5_{DMAB}) / (Item 1_{DMAB} - Item 5_{DMAB}) * Item 7_{DMAA/2}] + [Item 6_{DMAC} * (Item 1_{DMAA} - Item 5_{DMAC}) / (Item 1_{DMAC} - Item 5_{DMAC}) * Item 7_{DMAA/3}]$	9 Pre-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA B: $Q_p = Item 6_{DMAB} + [Item 6_{DMAA} * (Item 1_{DMAB} - Item 5_{DMAA}) / (Item 1_{DMAA} - Item 5_{DMAA}) * Item 7_{DMAB/1}] + [Item 6_{DMAC} * (Item 1_{DMAB} - Item 5_{DMAC}) / (Item 1_{DMAC} - Item 5_{DMAC}) * Item 7_{DMAB/3}]$			10 Pre-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA C: $Q_p = Item 6_{DMAC} + [Item 6_{DMAA} * (Item 1_{DMAC} - Item 5_{DMAA}) / (Item 1_{DMAA} - Item 5_{DMAA}) * Item 7_{DMAC/1}] + [Item 6_{DMAB} * (Item 1_{DMAC} - Item 5_{DMAB}) / (Item 1_{DMAB} - Item 5_{DMAB}) * Item 7_{DMAC/2}]$		
10 Peak runoff from pre-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): <i>Maximum of Item 8, 9, and 10 (including additional forms as needed)</i>						
11 Post-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA A: <i>Same as Item 8 for post-developed values</i>	12 Post-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA B: <i>Same as Item 9 for post-developed values</i>			13 Post-developed Q_p at T_c for DMA C: <i>Same as Item 10 for post-developed values</i>		
14 Peak runoff from post-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): <i>Maximum of Item 11, 12, and 13 (including additional forms as needed)</i>						
15 Peak runoff reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement (cfs): $Q_{p-HCOC} = (Item 14 * 0.95) - Item 10$						

4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

Complete the following forms for each project site DA to document that the proposed LID BMPs conform to the project DCV developed to meet performance criteria specified in the MS₄ Permit (WQMP Template Section 4.2). For the LID DCV, the forms are ordered according to hierarchy of BMP selection as required by the MS₄ Permit (see Section 5.3.1 in the TGD for WQMP). The forms compute the following for on-site LID BMP:

- Site Design and Hydrologic Source Controls (Form 4.3-2)
- Retention and Infiltration (Form 4.3-3)
- Harvested and Use (Form 4.3-4) or
- Biotreatment (Form 4.3-5).

At the end of each form, additional fields facilitate the determination of the extent of mitigation provided by the specific BMP category, allowing for use of the next category of BMP in the hierarchy, if necessary.

The first step in the analysis, using Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQMP, is to complete Forms 4.3-1 and 4.3-3) to determine if retention and infiltration BMPs are infeasible for the project. For each feasibility criterion in Form 4.3-1, if the answer is “Yes,” provide all study findings that includes relevant calculations, maps, data sources, etc. used to make the determination of infeasibility.

Next, complete Forms 4.3-2 and 4.3-4 to determine the feasibility of applicable HSC and harvest and use BMPs, and, if their implementation is feasible, the extent of mitigation of the DCV.

If no site constraints exist that would limit the type of BMP to be implemented in a DA, evaluate the use of combinations of LID BMPs, including all applicable HSC BMPs to maximize on-site retention of the DCV. If no combination of BMP can mitigate the entire DCV, implement the single BMP type, or combination of BMP types, that maximizes on-site retention of the DCV within the minimum effective area.

If the combination of LID HSC, retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs are unable to mitigate the entire DCV, then biotreatment BMPs may be implemented by the project proponent. If biotreatment BMPs are used, then they must be sized to provide sufficient capacity for effective treatment of the remainder of the volume-based performance criteria that cannot be achieved with LID BMPs (TGD for WQMP Section 5.4.4.2). **Under no circumstances shall any portion of the DCV be released from the site without effective mitigation and/or treatment.**

Form 4.3-1 Infiltration BMP Feasibility (DA 1)

Feasibility Criterion – Complete evaluation for each DA on the Project Site

¹ Would infiltration BMP pose significant risk for groundwater related concerns? Yes No
Refer to Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQMP

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

² Would installation of infiltration BMP significantly increase the risk of geotechnical hazards? Yes No
 (Yes, if the answer to any of the following questions is yes, as established by a geotechnical expert):

- The location is less than 50 feet away from slopes steeper than 15 percent
- The location is less than eight feet from building foundations or an alternative setback.
- A study certified by a geotechnical professional or an available watershed study determines that stormwater infiltration would result in significantly increased risks of geotechnical hazards.

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

³ Would infiltration of runoff on a Project site violate downstream water rights? Yes No

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

⁴ Is proposed infiltration facility located on hydrologic soil group (HSG) D soils or does the site geotechnical investigation indicate presence of soil characteristics, which support categorization as D soils? Yes No

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

⁵ Is the design infiltration rate, after accounting for safety factor of 2.0, below proposed facility less than 0.3 in/hr (accounting for soil amendments)? Yes No

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

⁶ Would on-site infiltration or reduction of runoff over pre-developed conditions be partially or fully inconsistent with watershed management strategies as defined in the WAP, or impair beneficial uses? Yes No
See Section 3.5 of the TGD for WQMP and WAP

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)

⁷ Any answer from Item 1 through Item 3 is “Yes”: Yes No
If yes, infiltration of any volume is not feasible onsite. Proceed to Form 4.3-4, Harvest and Use BMP. If no, then proceed to Item 8 below.

⁸ Any answer from Item 4 through Item 6 is “Yes”: Yes No
If yes, infiltration is permissible but is not required to be considered. Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BMP. If no, then proceed to Item 9, below.

⁹ All answers to Item 1 through Item 6 are “No”:
Infiltration of the full DCV is potentially feasible, LID infiltration BMP must be designed to infiltrate the full DCV to the MEP. Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BMP.

4.3.1 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP

Section XI.E. of the Permit emphasizes the use of LID preventative measures; and the use of LID HSC BMPs reduces the portion of the DCV that must be addressed in downstream BMPs. Therefore, all applicable HSC shall be provided except where they are mutually exclusive with each other, or with other BMPs. Mutual exclusivity may result from overlapping BMP footprints such that either would be potentially feasible by itself, but both could not be implemented. Please note that while there are no numeric standards regarding the use of HSC, if a project cannot feasibly meet BMP sizing requirements or cannot fully address HCOCs, feasibility of all applicable HSC must be part of demonstrating that the BMP system has been designed to retain the maximum feasible portion of the DCV. Complete Form 4.3-2 to identify and calculate estimated retention volume from implementing site design HSC BMP. Refer to Section 5.4.1 in the TGD for more detailed guidance.

Form 4.3-2 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs (DA 1)			
1 Implementation of Impervious Area Dispersion BMP (i.e. routing runoff from impervious to pervious areas), excluding impervious areas planned for routing to on-lot infiltration BMP: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, complete Items 2-5; If no, proceed to Item 6</i>	DA 1 DMA A BMP Type	DA 1 DMA B BMP Type	DA 1 DMA C BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
2 Total impervious area draining to pervious area (ft ²)	69,794.71	49,510.30	7,850.22
3 Ratio of pervious area receiving runoff to impervious area	15.72%	3.22%	7.94%
4 Retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion (ft ³) $V = \text{Item 2} * \text{Item 3} * (0.5/12)$, assuming retention of 0.5 inches of runoff	457.18	66.32	25.97
5 Sum of retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion (ft ³): 549.47 $V_{\text{retention}} = \text{Sum of Item 4 for all BMPs}$			
6 Implementation of Localized On-lot Infiltration BMPs (e.g. on-lot rain gardens): Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, complete Items 7-13 for aggregate of all on-lot infiltration BMP in each DA; if no, proceed to Item 14</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
7 Ponding surface area (ft ²)			
8 Ponding depth (ft)			
9 Surface area of amended soil/gravel (ft ²)			
10 Average depth of amended soil/gravel (ft)			
11 Average porosity of amended soil/gravel			
12 Retention volume achieved from on-lot infiltration (ft ³) $V_{\text{retention}} = (\text{Item 7} * \text{Item 8}) + (\text{Item 9} * \text{Item 10} * \text{Item 11})$			
13 Runoff volume retention from on-lot infiltration (ft ³): $V_{\text{retention}} = \text{Sum of Item 12 for all BMPs}$			

Form 4.3-2 cont. Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs (DA 1)			
14 Implementation of evapotranspiration BMP (green, brown, or blue roofs): Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, complete Items 15-20. If no, proceed to Item 21</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
15 Rooftop area planned for ET BMP (ft ²)			
16 Average wet season ET demand (in/day) <i>Use local values, typical ~ 0.1</i>			
17 Daily ET demand (ft ³ /day) <i>Item 15 * (Item 16 / 12)</i>			
18 Drawdown time (hrs) <i>Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1</i>			
19 Retention Volume (ft ³) <i>V_{retention} = Item 17 * (Item 18 / 24)</i>			
20 Runoff volume retention from evapotranspiration BMPs (ft ³): <i>V_{retention} = Sum of Item 19 for all BMPs</i>			
21 Implementation of Street Trees: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, complete Items 22-25. If no, proceed to Item 26</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
22 Number of Street Trees			
23 Average canopy cover over impervious area (ft ²)			
24 Runoff volume retention from street trees (ft ³) <i>V_{retention} = Item 22 * Item 23 * (0.05/12) assume runoff retention of 0.05 inches</i>			
25 Runoff volume retention from street tree BMPs (ft ³): <i>V_{retention} = Sum of Item 24 for all BMPs</i>			
26 Implementation of residential rain barrel/cisterns: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, complete Items 27-29; If no, proceed to Item 30</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
27 Number of rain barrels/cisterns			
28 Runoff volume retention from rain barrels/cisterns (ft ³) <i>V_{retention} = Item 27 * 3</i>			
29 Runoff volume retention from residential rain barrels/Cisterns (ft ³): <i>V_{retention} = Sum of Item 28 for all BMPs</i>			
30 Total Retention Volume from Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs: 549.47 <i>Sum of Items 5, 13, 20, 25 and 29</i>			

4.3.2 Infiltration BMPs

Use Form 4.3-3 to compute on-site retention of runoff from proposed retention and infiltration BMPs. Volume retention estimates are sensitive to the percolation rate used, which determines the amount of runoff that can be infiltrated within the specified drawdown time. The infiltration safety factor reduces field measured percolation to account for potential inaccuracy associated with field measurements, declining BMP performance over time, and compaction during construction. Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP provides guidance on estimating an appropriate safety factor to use in Form 4.3-3.

If site constraints limit the use of BMPs to a single type and implementation of retention and infiltration BMPs mitigate no more than 40% of the DCV, then they are considered infeasible and the Project Proponent may evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs lower in the LID hierarchy of use (Section 5.5.1 of the TGD for WQMP)

If implementation of infiltrations BMPs is feasible as determined using Form 4.3-1, then LID infiltration BMPs shall be implemented to the MEP (section 4.1 of the TGD for WQMP).

Form 4.3-3 Infiltration LID BMP - including underground BMPs (DA 1)

1 Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC BMP (ft ³): 11,510.64 $V_{unmet} = \text{Form 4.2-1 Item 7} - \text{Form 4.3-2 Item 30}$			
BMP Type Use columns to the right to compute runoff volume retention from proposed infiltration BMP (select BMP from Table 5-4 in TGD for WQMP) - Use additional forms for more BMPs	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type (Use additional forms for more BMPs)
2 Infiltration rate of underlying soils (in/hr) See Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP for minimum requirements for assessment methods			
3 Infiltration safety factor See TGD Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D			
4 Design percolation rate (in/hr) $P_{design} = \text{Item 2} / \text{Item 3}$			
5 Ponded water drawdown time (hr) Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1			
6 Maximum ponding depth (ft) BMP specific, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details			
7 Ponding Depth (ft) $d_{BMP} = \text{Minimum of } (1/12 * \text{Item 4} * \text{Item 5}) \text{ or Item 6}$			
8 Infiltrating surface area, SA_{BMP} (ft ²) the lesser of the area needed for infiltration of full DCV or minimum space requirements from Table 5.7 of the TGD for WQMP			
9 Amended soil depth, d_{media} (ft) Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 in the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details			
10 Amended soil porosity			
11 Gravel depth, d_{media} (ft) Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details			
12 Gravel porosity			
13 Duration of storm as basin is filling (hrs) Typical ~ 3hrs			
14 Above Ground Retention Volume (ft ³) $V_{retention} = \text{Item 8} * [\text{Item 7} + (\text{Item 9} * \text{Item 10}) + (\text{Item 11} * \text{Item 12}) + (\text{Item 13} * (\text{Item 4} / 12))]$			
15 Underground Retention Volume (ft ³) Volume determined using manufacturer's specifications and calculations	11,543		
16 Total Retention Volume from LID Infiltration BMPs: 11,543 (Sum of Items 14 and 15 for all infiltration BMP included in plan)			
17 Fraction of DCV achieved with infiltration BMP: 95.71%% $\text{Retention\%} = \text{Item 16} / \text{Form 4.2-1 Item 7}$			
18 Is full LID DCV retained onsite with combination of hydrologic source control and LID retention/infiltration BMPs? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10; If no, then reduce Item 3, Factor of Safety to 2.0 and increase Item 8, Infiltrating Surface Area, such that the portion of the site area used for retention and infiltration BMPs equals or exceeds the minimum effective area thresholds (Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP) for the applicable category of development and repeat all above calculations.			

4.3.3 Harvest and Use BMP

Harvest and use BMP may be considered if the full LID DCV cannot be met by maximizing infiltration BMPs. Use Form 4.3-4 to compute on-site retention of runoff from proposed harvest and use BMPs.

Volume retention estimates for harvest and use BMPs are sensitive to the on-site demand for captured stormwater. Since irrigation water demand is low in the wet season, when most rainfall events occur in San Bernardino County, the volume of water that can be used within a specified drawdown period is relatively low. The bottom portion of Form 4.3-4 facilitates the necessary computations to show infeasibility if a minimum incremental benefit of 40 percent of the LID DCV would not be achievable with MEP implementation of on-site harvest and use of stormwater (Section 5.5.4 of the TGD for WQMP).

Form 4.3-4 Harvest and Use BMPs (DA 1)			
1 Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC or infiltration BMP (ft ³): 0.00 <i>V_{unmet} = Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30 - Form 4.3-3 Item 16</i>			
BMP Type(s) <i>Compute runoff volume retention from proposed harvest and use BMP (Select BMPs from Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP) - Use additional forms for more BMPs</i>	DA BMP Type	DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
2 Describe cistern or runoff detention facility			
3 Storage volume for proposed detention type (ft ³) <i>Volume of cistern</i>			
4 Landscaped area planned for use of harvested stormwater (ft ²)			
5 Average wet season daily irrigation demand (in/day) <i>Use local values, typical ~ 0.1 in/day</i>			
6 Daily water demand (ft ³ /day) <i>Item 4 * (Item 5 / 12)</i>			
7 Drawdown time (hrs) <i>Copy Item 6 from Form 4.2-1</i>			
8 Retention Volume (ft ³) <i>V_{retention} = Minimum of (Item 3) or (Item 6 * (Item 7 / 24))</i>			
9 Total Retention Volume (ft ³) from Harvest and Use BMP <i>Sum of Item 8 for all harvest and use BMP included in plan</i>			
10 Is the full DCV retained with a combination of LID HSC, retention and infiltration, and harvest & use BMPs? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10. If no, then re-evaluate combinations of all LID BMP and optimize their implementation such that the maximum portion of the DCV is retained on-site (using a single BMP type or combination of BMP types). If the full DCV cannot be mitigated after this optimization process, proceed to Section 4.3.4.</i>			

4.3.4 Biotreatment BMP

Biotreatment BMPs may be considered if the full LID DCV cannot be met by maximizing retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs. A key consideration when using biotreatment BMP is the effectiveness of the proposed BMP in addressing the pollutants of concern for the project (see Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP).

Use Form 4.3-5 to summarize the potential for volume based and/or flow based biotreatment options to biotreat the remaining unmet LID DCV w. Biotreatment computations are included as follows:

- Use Form 4.3-6 to compute biotreatment in small volume based biotreatment BMP (e.g. bioretention w/underdrains);
- Use Form 4.3-7 to compute biotreatment in large volume based biotreatment BMP (e.g. constructed wetlands);
- Use Form 4.3-8 to compute sizing criteria for flow-based biotreatment BMP (e.g. bioswales)

Form 4.3-5 Selection and Evaluation of Biotreatment BMP (DA 1)		
<p>1 Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP for potential biotreatment (ft³): 0.00 <i>Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30 – Form 4.3-3 Item 16- Form 4.3-4 Item 9</i></p>	<p>List pollutants of concern <i>Copy from Form 2.3-1.</i></p>	
<p>2 Biotreatment BMP Selected <i>(Select biotreatment BMP(s) necessary to ensure all pollutants of concern are addressed through Unit Operations and Processes, described in Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Volume-based biotreatment <i>Use Forms 4.3-6 and 4.3-7 to compute treated volume</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Bioretention with underdrain <input type="checkbox"/> Planter box with underdrain <input type="checkbox"/> Constructed wetlands <input type="checkbox"/> Wet extended detention <input type="checkbox"/> Dry extended detention</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Flow-based biotreatment <i>Use Form 4.3-8 to compute treated volume</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated swale <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated filter strip <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary biotreatment</p>
<p>3 Volume biotreated in volume based biotreatment BMP (ft³): <i>Form 4.3-6 Item 15 + Form 4.3-7 Item 13</i></p>	<p>4 Compute remaining LID DCV with implementation of volume based biotreatment BMP (ft³): <i>Item 1 – Item 3</i></p>	<p>5 Remaining fraction of LID DCV for sizing flow based biotreatment BMP: % <i>Item 4 / Item 1</i></p>
<p>6 Flow-based biotreatment BMP capacity provided (cfs): <i>Use Figure 5-2 of the TGD for WQMP to determine flow capacity required to provide biotreatment of remaining percentage of unmet LID DCV (Item 5), for the project’s precipitation zone (Form 3-1 Item 1)</i></p>		
<p>7 Metrics for MEP determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided a WQMP with the portion of site area used for suite of LID BMP equal to minimum thresholds in Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP for the proposed category of development: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If maximized on-site retention BMPs is feasible for partial capture, then LID BMP implementation must be optimized to retain and infiltrate the maximum portion of the DCV possible within the prescribed minimum effective area. The remaining portion of the DCV shall then be mitigated using biotreatment BMP.</i> 		

Form 4.3-6 Volume Based Biotreatment (DA 1) – Bioretention and Planter Boxes with Underdrains			
Biotreatment BMP Type <i>(Bioretention w/underdrain, planter box w/underdrain, other comparable BMP)</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
1 Pollutants addressed with BMP <i>List all pollutant of concern that will be effectively reduced through specific Unit Operations and Processes described in Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP</i>			
2 Amended soil infiltration rate <i>Typical ~ 5.0</i>			
3 Amended soil infiltration safety factor <i>Typical ~ 2.0</i>			
4 Amended soil design percolation rate (in/hr) $P_{design} = \text{Item 2} / \text{Item 3}$			
5 Ponded water drawdown time (hr) <i>Copy Item 6 from Form 4.2-1</i>			
6 Maximum ponding depth (ft) <i>see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
7 Ponding Depth (ft) $d_{BMP} = \text{Minimum of } (1/12 * \text{Item 4} * \text{Item 5}) \text{ or Item 6}$			
8 Amended soil surface area (ft ²)			
9 Amended soil depth (ft) <i>see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
10 Amended soil porosity, <i>n</i>			
11 Gravel depth (ft) <i>see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
12 Gravel porosity, <i>n</i>			
13 Duration of storm as basin is filling (hrs) <i>Typical ~ 3hrs</i>			
14 Biotreated Volume (ft ³) $V_{biotreated} = \text{Item 8} * [(\text{Item 7}/2) + (\text{Item 9} * \text{Item 10}) + (\text{Item 11} * \text{Item 12}) + (\text{Item 13} * (\text{Item 4} / 12))]$			
15 Total biotreated volume from bioretention and/or planter box with underdrains BMP: 0.00 <i>Sum of Item 14 for all volume-based BMPs included in this form</i>			

Form 4.3-7 Volume Based Biotreatment (DA 1) – Constructed Wetlands and Extended Detention

Biotreatment BMP Type <i>Constructed wetlands, extended wet detention, extended dry detention, or other comparable proprietary BMP. If BMP includes multiple modules (e.g. forebay and main basin), provide separate estimates for storage and pollutants treated in each module.</i>	DA DMA BMP Type		DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>	
	Forebay	Basin	Forebay	Basin
1 Pollutants addressed with BMP forebay and basin <i>List all pollutant of concern that will be effectively reduced through specific Unit Operations and Processes described in Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP</i>				
2 Bottom width (ft)				
3 Bottom length (ft)				
4 Bottom area (ft ²) $A_{bottom} = \text{Item 2} * \text{Item 3}$				
5 Side slope (ft/ft)				
6 Depth of storage (ft)				
7 Water surface area (ft ²) $A_{surface} = (\text{Item 2} + (2 * \text{Item 5} * \text{Item 6})) * (\text{Item 3} + (2 * \text{Item 5} * \text{Item 6}))$				
8 Storage volume (ft ³) <i>For BMP with a forebay, ensure fraction of total storage is within ranges specified in BMP specific fact sheets, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i> $V = \text{Item 6} / 3 * [\text{Item 4} + \text{Item 7} + (\text{Item 4} * \text{Item 7})^{0.5}]$				
9 Drawdown Time (hrs) <i>Copy Item 6 from Form 2.1</i>				
10 Outflow rate (cfs) $Q_{BMP} = (\text{Item } 8_{forebay} + \text{Item } 8_{basin}) / (\text{Item } 9 * 3600)$				
11 Duration of design storm event (hrs)				
12 Biotreated Volume (ft ³) $V_{biotreated} = (\text{Item } 8_{forebay} + \text{Item } 8_{basin}) + (\text{Item } 10 * \text{Item } 11 * 3600)$				
13 Total biotreated volume from constructed wetlands, extended dry detention, or extended wet detention : 0.00 <i>(Sum of Item 12 for all BMP included in plan)</i>				

Form 4.3-8 Flow Based Biotreatment (DA 1)			
Biotreatment BMP Type <i>Vegetated swale, vegetated filter strip, or other comparable proprietary BMP</i>	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type	DA DMA BMP Type <i>(Use additional forms for more BMPs)</i>
1 Pollutants addressed with BMP <i>List all pollutant of concern that will be effectively reduced through specific Unit Operations and Processes described in TGD Table 5-5</i>			
2 Flow depth for water quality treatment (ft) <i>BMP specific, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
3 Bed slope (ft/ft) <i>BMP specific, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
4 Manning's roughness coefficient			
5 Bottom width (ft) <i>$b_w = (\text{Form 4.3-5 Item 6} * \text{Item 4}) / (1.49 * \text{Item 2}^{1.67} * \text{Item 3}^{0.5})$</i>			
6 Side Slope (ft/ft) <i>BMP specific, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
7 Cross sectional area (ft ²) <i>$A = (\text{Item 5} * \text{Item 2}) + (\text{Item 6} * \text{Item 2}^2)$</i>			
8 Water quality flow velocity (ft/sec) <i>$V = \text{Form 4.3-5 Item 6} / \text{Item 7}$</i>			
9 Hydraulic residence time (min) <i>Pollutant specific, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details</i>			
10 Length of flow based BMP (ft) <i>$L = \text{Item 8} * \text{Item 9} * 60$</i>			
11 Water surface area at water quality flow depth (ft ²) <i>$SA_{top} = (\text{Item 5} + (2 * \text{Item 2} * \text{Item 6})) * \text{Item 10}$</i>			

4.3.5 Conformance Summary

Complete Form 4.3-9 to demonstrate how on-site LID DCV is met with proposed site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, harvest and use, and/or biotreatment BMP. The bottom line of the form is used to describe the basis for infeasibility determination for on-site LID BMP to achieve full LID DCV, and provides methods for computing remaining volume to be addressed in an alternative compliance plan. If the project has more than one outlet, then complete additional versions of this form for each outlet.

Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate (DA 1)	
1	Total LID DCV for the Project DA-1 (ft ³): 12,060.11 <i>Copy Item 7 in Form 4.2-1</i>
2	On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control LID BMP (ft ³): 549.47 <i>Copy Item 30 in Form 4.3-2</i>
3	On-site retention with LID infiltration BMP (ft ³): 11,543 <i>Copy Item 16 in Form 4.3-3</i>
4	On-site retention with LID harvest and use BMP (ft ³): 0.00 <i>Copy Item 9 in Form 4.3-4</i>
5	On-site biotreatment with volume based biotreatment BMP (ft ³): 0.00 <i>Copy Item 3 in Form 4.3-5</i>
6	Flow capacity provided by flow based biotreatment BMP (cfs): 0.00 <i>Copy Item 6 in Form 4.3-5</i>
7	<p>LID BMP performance criteria are achieved if answer to any of the following is "Yes":</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full retention of LID DCV with site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, sum of Items 2, 3, and 4 is greater than Item 1</i> • Combination of on-site retention BMPs for a portion of the LID DCV and volume-based biotreatment BMP that address all pollutants of concern for the remaining LID DCV: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, a) sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is greater than Item 1, and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized; or b) Item 6 is greater than Form 4.3-5 Item 6 and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized</i> ▪ On-site retention and infiltration is determined to be infeasible and biotreatment BMP provide biotreatment for all pollutants of concern for full LID DCV: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, Form 4.3-1 Items 7 and 8 were both checked yes</i>
8	<p>If the LID DCV is not achieved by any of these means, then the project may be allowed to develop an alternative compliance plan. Check box that describes the scenario which caused the need for alternative compliance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combination of HSC, retention and infiltration, harvest and use, and biotreatment BMPs provide less than full LID DCV capture: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Checked yes for Form 4.3-5 Item 7, Item 6 is zero, and sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is less than Item 1. If so, apply water quality credits and calculate volume for alternative compliance, $V_{alt} = (Item\ 1 - Item\ 2 - Item\ 3 - Item\ 4 - Item\ 5) * (100 - Form\ 2.4-1\ Item\ 2)\%$</i> • An approved Watershed Action Plan (WAP) demonstrates that water quality and hydrologic impacts of urbanization are more effective when managed in at an off-site facility: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Attach appropriate WAP section, including technical documentation, showing effectiveness comparisons for the project site and regional watershed</i>

4.3.6 Hydromodification Control BMP

Use Form 4.3-10 to compute the remaining runoff volume retention, after LID BMP are implemented, needed to address HCOC, and the increase in time of concentration and decrease in peak runoff necessary to meet targets for protection of waterbodies with a potential HCOC. Describe hydromodification control BMP that address HCOC, which may include off-site BMP and/or in-stream controls. Section 5.6 of the TGD for WQMP provides additional details on selection and evaluation of hydromodification control BMP.

Form 4.3-10 Hydromodification Control BMPs (DA 1)	
<p>1 Volume reduction needed for HCOC performance criteria (ft³): <i>(Form 4.2-2 Item 4 * 0.95) – Form 4.2-2 Item 1</i></p>	<p>2 On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, and harvest and use LID BMP (ft³): <i>Sum of Form 4.3-9 Items 2, 3, and 4 Evaluate option to increase implementation of on-site retention in Forms 4.3-2, 4.3-3, and 4.3-4 in excess of LID DCV toward achieving HCOC volume reduction</i></p>
<p>3 Remaining volume for HCOC volume capture (ft³): <i>Item 1 – Item 2</i></p>	<p>4 Volume capture provided by incorporating additional on-site or off-site retention BMPs (ft³): <i>Existing downstream BMP may be used to demonstrate additional volume capture (if so, attach to this WQMP a hydrologic analysis showing how the additional volume would be retained during a 2-yr storm event for the regional watershed)</i></p>
<p>5 If Item 4 is less than Item 3, incorporate in-stream controls on downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Attach in-stream control BMP selection and evaluation to this WQMP</i></p>	
<p>6 Is Form 4.2-2 Item 11 less than or equal to 5%: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, HCOC performance criteria is achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate increase in time of concentration achieved by proposed LID site design, LID BMP, and additional on-site or off-site retention BMP <input type="checkbox"/> <i>BMP upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate increased time of concentration through hydrograph attenuation (if so, show that the hydraulic residence time provided in BMP for a 2-year storm event is equal or greater than the addition time of concentration requirement in Form 4.2-4 Item 15)</i> • Increase time of concentration by preserving pre-developed flow path and/or increase travel time by reducing slope and increasing cross-sectional area and roughness for proposed on-site conveyance facilities <input type="checkbox"/> • Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California <input type="checkbox"/> 	
<p>7 Form 4.2-2 Item 12 less than or equal to 5%: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes, HCOC performance criteria is achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate reduction in peak runoff achieved by proposed LID site design, LID BMPs, and additional on-site or off-site retention BMPs <input type="checkbox"/> <i>BMPs upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate additional peak runoff reduction through hydrograph attenuation (if so, attach to this WQMP, a hydrograph analysis showing how the peak runoff would be reduced during a 2-yr storm event)</i> • Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California <input type="checkbox"/> 	

4.4 Alternative Compliance Plan (if applicable)

Describe an alternative compliance plan (if applicable) for projects not fully able to infiltrate, harvest and use, or biotreat the DCV via on-site LID practices. A project proponent must develop an alternative compliance plan to address the remainder of the LID DCV. Depending on project type some projects may qualify for water quality credits that can be applied to reduce the DCV that must be treated prior to development of an alternative compliance plan (see Form 2.4-1, Water Quality Credits). Form 4.3-9 Item 8 includes instructions on how to apply water quality credits when computing the DCV that must be met through alternative compliance. Alternative compliance plans may include one or more of the following elements:

- On-site structural treatment control BMP - All treatment control BMP should be located as close to possible to the pollutant sources and should not be located within receiving waters;
- Off-site structural treatment control BMP - Pollutant removal should occur prior to discharge of runoff to receiving waters;
- Urban runoff fund or In-lieu program, if available

Depending upon the proposed alternative compliance plan, approval by the executive officer may or may not be required (see Section 6 of the TGD for WQMP).

Section 5 Inspection and Maintenance Responsibility for Post Construction BMP

All BMP included as part of the project WQMP are required to be maintained through regular scheduled inspection and maintenance (refer to Section 8, Post Construction BMP Requirements, in the TGD for WQMP). Fully complete Form 5-1 summarizing all BMP included in the WQMP. Attach additional forms as needed. The WQMP shall also include a detailed Operation and Maintenance Plan for all BMP and may require a Maintenance Agreement (consult the jurisdiction's LIP). If a Maintenance Agreement is required, it must also be attached to the WQMP.

Form 5-1 BMP Inspection and Maintenance (use additional forms as necessary)			
BMP	Responsible Party(s)	Inspection/ Maintenance Activities Required	Minimum Frequency of Activities
Under-ground Detention	Ashley Real Estate LLC	Monthly inspection, cleaning Annual sediment inspection	Monthly / Annual
CDS Filter	Ashley Real Estate LLC	Monthly inspection, cleaning	Monthly

Section 6 WQMP Attachments

6.1. Site Plan and Drainage Plan

Include a site plan and drainage plan sheet set containing the following minimum information:

- Project location
- Site boundary
- Land uses and land covers, as applicable
- Suitability/feasibility constraints
- Structural Source Control BMP locations
- Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP locations
- LID BMP details
- Drainage delineations and flow information
- Drainage connections

6.2 Electronic Data Submittal

Minimum requirements include submittal of PDF exhibits in addition to hard copies. Format must not require specialized software to open. If the local jurisdiction requires specialized electronic document formats (as described in their local Local Implementation Plan), this section will describe the contents (e.g., layering, nomenclature, geo-referencing, etc.) of these documents so that they may be interpreted efficiently and accurately.

6.3 Post Construction

Attach all O&M Plans and Maintenance Agreements for BMP to the WQMP.


6.4 Other Supporting Documentation

- BMP Educational Materials
- Activity Restriction – C, C&R's & Lease Agreements

DMA MAP


CITY OF COLTON COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA

APN: 0163-281-31



LEGEND

- INDICATES DRAINAGE FLOW DIRECTION
- INDICATES DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
- INDICATES BASIN AREA BOUNDARY
- INDICATES LABEL DMA AREA AND ACREAGE



BENCHMARK:



W.J. MCKEEVER,
CIVIL ENGINEERING
31419 OUTER HIGHWAY 10, SUITE 2-200
REDLANDS, CA 92373
PH: (909) 389-0200 FAX: (909) 389-0201
EMAIL: OFFICE@WJMCKEEVERINC.COM
WWW.MCKEEVERCIVILENGINEERING.COM
PREPARED BY: STEVEN L. ELLIS R.C.E. NO. C 047255 DATE: _____

CITY OF COLTON
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
CITY ENGINEER
NAME: VICTOR ORTIZ, P.E.
R.C.E.: 73848 EXPIRES: 06/30/23
ACCEPTED: _____ DATE: _____
PLAN NO. 0000


REVISION	DATE	APPR.
DRAINAGE MAP POST-PROJECT ASHLEY HOMESTORE		
HORIZ:		
VERT:		
DATE:		
SHEET 00 OF 00		

WQMP SITE PLAN

CITY OF COLTON


COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA

APN: 0163-281-31



LEGEND

- INDICATES DRAINAGE FLOW DIRECTION
- INDICATES DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
- INDICATES BASIN AREA BOUNDARY
- INDICATES LABEL DMA AREA AND ACREAGE



BENCHMARK:



W.J. MCKEEVER,
CIVIL ENGINEERING
 31419 OUTER HIGHWAY 10, SUITE 2-200
 REDLANDS, CA 92373
 PH: (909) 389-0200 FAX: (909) 389-0201
 EMAIL: OFFICE@W.MCKEEVERINC.COM
 WWW.MCKEEVERCIVILENGINEERING.COM
 PREPARED BY: _____ DATE: _____
 STEVEN L. ELLIS R.C.E. NO. C 047255

CITY OF COLTON
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
 CITY ENGINEER
 NAME: VICTOR ORTIZ, P.E.
 R.C.E.: 73848 EXPIRES: 06/30/23
 ACCEPTED: _____ DATE: _____
 PLAN NO. 0000

REVISION		DATE	APPR.
DRAINAGE MAP			
POST-PROJECT			
ASHLEY HOMESTORE			
SHEET 00 OF 00			

PROJECT SUMMARY

CALCULATION DETAILS

- LOADING = HS20/HS25
- APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE = 165 LF

STORAGE SUMMARY

- STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED = 11,510.64 CF
- PIPE STORAGE VOLUME = 8,294 CF
- BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME = 3,249 CF
- TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED = 11,543 CF

PIPE DETAILS


- DIAMETER = 96"
- CORRUGATION = 5x1
- GAGE = 16
- COATING = ALT2
- WALL TYPE = PERFORATED
- BARREL SPACING = 36"

BACKFILL DETAILS

- WIDTH AT ENDS = 12"
- ABOVE PIPE = 0"
- WIDTH AT SIDES = 12"
- BELOW PIPE = 12"

NOTES

- ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATIONS OF RISERS AND INLETS, SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998.
- ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE 2²/₃" x 1¹/₂" CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE.
- QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE FOR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUR SYSTEM AS DETAILED PROVIDES NOMINAL INLET AND/OR OUTLET PIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- BAND TYPE TO BE DETERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN.
- THE PROJECT SUMMARY IS REFLECTIVE OF THE DYODS DESIGN, QUANTITIES ARE APPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED UPON FINAL DESIGN AND APPROVAL. FOR EXAMPLE, TOTAL EXCAVATION DOES NOT CONSIDER ALL VARIABLES SUCH AS SHORING AND ONLY ACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE ESTIMATED EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT.
- THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.



ASSEMBLY
SCALE: 1" = 10'

C:\EXPORT\TEMPLATES\CMP_V8.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM


<small>The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.</small>		
<small>If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.</small>		
DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY


ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
 9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
 800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX


CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
 CONTECH
DYODS
 DRAWING


DYO19001 Ashley Furniture HomeStore
 WQMP Basin 1
 Colton, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.: 12285	SEQ. No.: 19001	DATE: 8/9/2022
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.:		1




Infiltration Systems - CMP Infiltration & CMP Perforated Drainage Pipe			
Material Location	Description	Material Designation	Designation
8	Rigid or Flexible Pavement (if applicable)		
7	Road Base (if applicable)		
A	Geotextile Layer	Non-Woven Geotextile CONTECH C-40 or C-45	Engineer Decision for consideration to prevent soil migration into varying soil types. Wrap the trench only.
6	Backfill	Infiltration pipe systems have a pipe perforation sized of 3/8" diameter. An open graded, free draining stone, with a particle size of 1/2" - 2 1/2" diameter is recommended.	AASHTO M 145-A-1 or AASHTO M 43 - 3, 4 Material shall be worked into the pipe haunches by means of shovel-slicing, rodding, air-tamper, vibratory rod, or other effective methods. Compaction of all placed fill material is necessary and shall be considered adequate when no further yielding of the material is observed under the compactor, or under foot, and the Project Engineer or his representative is satisfied with the level of compaction"
3	Bedding Stone	Well graded granular bedding material w/maximum particle size of 3"	AASHTO M43 - 3,357,4,467, 5, 56, 57 For soil aggregates larger than 3/8" a dedicated bedding layer is not required for CMP. Pipe may be placed on the trench bottom comprised of native suitable well graded & granular material. For Arch pipes it is recommended to be shaped to a relatively flat bottom or fine-grade the foundation to a slight v-shape. Soil aggregates less than 3/8" and unsuitable material should be over-excavated and re-placed with a 4"-6" layer of well graded & granular stone per the material designation.
A	Geotextile Layer	None	None Contech does not recommend geotextiles be placed under the invert of infiltration systems due to the propensity for geotextiles to clog over time.

* Note: The listed AASHTO designations are for gradation only. The stone must also be angular and clean.



TYPICAL MANWAY DETAIL

NOTE: MANWAY DETAIL APPLICABLE FOR CMP SYSTEMS WITH DIAMETERS 48" AND LARGER. MANWAYS MAY BE REQUIRED ON SMALLER SYSTEMS DEPENDING ON ACTUAL SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS.



TYPICAL RISER DETAIL


NOTE: LADDERS ARE OPTIONAL AND ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR ALL SYSTEMS.

- 1 MINIMUM WIDTH DEPENDS ON SITE CONDITIONS AND ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT.
- 2 PRIOR TO PLACING THE BEDDING, THE FOUNDATION MUST BE CONSTRUCTED TO A UNIFORM AND STABLE GRADE. IN THE EVENT THAT UNSUITABLE FOUNDATION MATERIALS ARE ENCOUNTERED DURING EXCAVATION, THEY SHALL BE REMOVED AND BROUGHT BACK TO THE GRADE WITH A FILL MATERIAL AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 5 HAUNCH ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED AND UNIFORMLY COMPACTED WITHOUT SOFT SPOTS.


BACKFILL
MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN 8"-10" MAXIMUM LIFTS. INADEQUATE COMPACTION CAN LEAD TO EXCESSIVE DEFLECTIONS WITHIN THE SYSTEM AND SETTLEMENT OF THE SOILS OVER THE SYSTEM. BACKFILL SHALL BE PLACED SUCH THAT THERE IS NO MORE THAN A TWO-LIFT DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN THE SIDES OF ANY PIPE IN THE SYSTEM AT ALL TIMES DURING THE BACKFILL PROCESS. BACKFILL SHALL BE ADVANCED ALONG THE LENGTH OF THE SYSTEM AT THE SAME RATE TO AVOID DIFFERENTIAL LOADING ON ANY PIPES IN THE SYSTEM.

EQUIPMENT USED TO PLACE AND COMPACT THE BACKFILL SHALL BE OF A SIZE AND TYPE SO AS NOT TO DISTORT, DAMAGE, OR DISPLACE THE PIPE. ATTENTION MUST BE GIVEN TO PROVIDING ADEQUATE MINIMUM COVER FOR SUCH EQUIPMENT. MAINTAIN BALANCED LOADING ON ALL PIPES IN THE SYSTEM DURING ALL SUCH OPERATIONS.


OTHER ALTERNATE BACKFILL MATERIAL MAY BE ALLOWED DEPENDING ON SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS. REFER TO TYPICAL BACKFILL DETAIL FOR MATERIAL REQUIRED.



OPEN AREA = 3.76 SQ IN/SQ FT



OPEN AREA = 4.16 SQ IN/SQ FT




OPEN AREA = 3.33 SQ IN/SQ FT

- NOTES:
- PERFORATIONS MEET AASHTO AND ASTM SPECIFICATIONS.
 - PERFORATION OPEN AREA PER SQUARE FOOT OF PIPE IS BASED ON THE NOMINAL DIAMETER AND LENGTH OF PIPE.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO MANUFACTURING TOLERANCES.
 - ALL HOLES \varnothing 3/8".

TYPICAL PERFORATION DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.



TYPICAL SECTION VIEW

NOTE: IF SALTING AGENTS FOR SNOW AND ICE REMOVAL ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT, AN HDPE MEMBRANE LINER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. THE IMPERMEABLE LINER IS INTENDED TO HELP PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM A CHANGE IN THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. PLEASE REFER TO THE CORRUGATED METAL PIPE DETENTION DESIGN GUIDE FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

C:\EXPORT\TEMPLATES\CMP_V8.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.


DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY

CONTECH
ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH
CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH
DYODS
DRAWING

DYO19001 Ashley Furniture HomeStore
WQMP Basin 1
Colton, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.: 12285	SEQ. No.: 19001	DATE: 8/9/2022
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.:		1



CONSTRUCTION LOADS

FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE LOADS, AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF COMPACTED COVER MAY BE REQUIRED OVER THE TOP OF THE PIPE. THE HEIGHT-OF-COVER SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE BELOW. THE USE OF HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NECESSITATES GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE PIPE THAN FINISHED GRADE COVER MINIMUMS FOR NORMAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC.

PIPE SPAN, INCHES	AXLE LOADS (kips)			
	18-50	50-75	75-110	110-150
	MINIMUM COVER (FT)			
12-42	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
48-72	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0
78-120	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
126-144	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5

*MINIMUM COVER MAY VARY, DEPENDING ON LOCAL CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL COVER REQUIRED TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER IS MEASURED FROM THE TOP OF THE PIPE TO THE TOP OF THE MAINTAINED CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY SURFACE.

CONSTRUCTION LOADING DIAGRAM

SCALE: N.T.S.

SPECIFICATION FOR DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM:

SCOPE

THIS SPECIFICATION COVERS THE MANUFACTURE AND INSTALLATION OF THE DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM DETAILED IN THE PROJECT PLANS.

MATERIAL

THE MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2 STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-274 OR ASTM A-92.

THE GALVANIZED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-218 OR ASTM A-929.

THE POLYMER COATED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-246 OR ASTM A-742.

THE ALUMINUM COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE OF AASHTO M-197 OR ASTM B-744.

CONSTRUCTION LOADS

CONSTRUCTION LOADS MAY BE HIGHER THAN FINAL LOADS. FOLLOW THE MANUFACTURER'S OR NCSA GUIDELINES.

PIPE

THE PIPE SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

GALVANIZED: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

POLYMER COATED: AASHTO M-245 OR ASTM A-762

ALUMINUM: AASHTO M-196 OR ASTM B-745


APPLICABLE HANDLING AND ASSEMBLY

SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCSP'S (NATIONAL CORRUGATED STEEL ASSOCIATION) FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL. SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALUMINUM PIPE.


INSTALLATION

SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES, SECTION 26, DIVISION II DIVISION II OR ASTM A-798 (FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL) OR ASTM B-788 (FOR ALUMINUM PIPE) AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. IF THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES OR CONFLICTS THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD DISCUSS AND RESOLVE WITH THE SITE ENGINEER.


IT IS ALWAYS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW OSHA GUIDELINES FOR SAFE PRACTICES.



SECTION VIEW



ROUND OPTION PLAN VIEW



SQUARE OPTION PLAN VIEW

NOTES:

- DESIGN IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO, 17th EDITION.
- DESIGN LOAD HS25.
- EARTH COVER = 1' MAX.
- CONCRETE STRENGTH = 3,500 psi
- REINFORCING STEEL = ASTM A615, GRADE 60.
- PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REINFORCING AROUND OPENINGS EQUAL TO THE BARS INTERRUPTED, HALF EACH SIDE. ADDITIONAL BARS TO BE IN THE SAME PLANE.
- TRIM OPENING WITH DIAGONAL #4 BARS, EXTEND BARS A MINIMUM OF 12" BEYOND OPENING, BEND BARS AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN BAR COVER.
- PROTECTION SLAB AND ALL MATERIALS TO BE PROVIDED AND INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR.
- DETAIL DESIGN BY DELTA ENGINEERING, BINGHAMTON, NY.

MANHOLE CAP DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.

Ø CMP RISER	A	Ø B	REINFORCING	**BEARING PRESSURE (PSF)
24"	Ø 4' 4'X4'	26"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,410 1,780
30"	Ø 4'-6" 4'-6" X 4'-6"	32"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,120 1,530
36"	Ø 5' 5' X 5'	38"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 10" OCEW	1,890 1,350
42"	Ø 5'-6" 5'-6" X 5'-6"	44"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 9" OCEW	1,720 1,210
48"	Ø 6' 6' X 6'	50"	#5 @ 9" OCEW #5 @ 8" OCEW	1,600 1,100

** ASSUMED SOIL BEARING CAPACITY

C:\EXPORT\TEMPLATES\CMP_V8.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM

NOTE:
THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY

CONTECH
ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH
CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH
DYODS
DRAWING

DYO19001 Ashley Furniture HomeStore
WQMP Basin 1
Colton, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.: 12285	SEQ. No.: 19001	DATE: 8/9/2022
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.:		1



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2
Location name: Colton, California, USA*
Latitude: 34.0574°, Longitude: -117.2994°
Elevation: 966.63 ft**
 * source: ESRI Maps
 ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF_tabular](#) | [PF_graphical](#) | [Maps & aerals](#)

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches)¹										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.098 (0.082-0.119)	0.127 (0.106-0.155)	0.166 (0.138-0.202)	0.198 (0.163-0.243)	0.241 (0.192-0.307)	0.275 (0.214-0.357)	0.310 (0.235-0.413)	0.346 (0.255-0.475)	0.396 (0.279-0.566)	0.435 (0.296-0.645)
10-min	0.141 (0.117-0.171)	0.183 (0.152-0.222)	0.238 (0.197-0.290)	0.283 (0.233-0.348)	0.346 (0.275-0.439)	0.394 (0.307-0.512)	0.444 (0.337-0.592)	0.496 (0.366-0.680)	0.567 (0.400-0.812)	0.623 (0.425-0.924)
15-min	0.170 (0.142-0.207)	0.221 (0.184-0.268)	0.288 (0.239-0.350)	0.343 (0.282-0.421)	0.418 (0.332-0.531)	0.477 (0.371-0.619)	0.537 (0.407-0.716)	0.600 (0.442-0.823)	0.686 (0.484-0.982)	0.754 (0.514-1.12)
30-min	0.256 (0.213-0.310)	0.331 (0.275-0.402)	0.431 (0.358-0.525)	0.514 (0.422-0.631)	0.627 (0.498-0.797)	0.715 (0.556-0.929)	0.805 (0.611-1.07)	0.899 (0.663-1.23)	1.03 (0.726-1.47)	1.13 (0.770-1.68)
60-min	0.371 (0.309-0.450)	0.480 (0.399-0.583)	0.625 (0.519-0.761)	0.745 (0.613-0.915)	0.909 (0.722-1.16)	1.04 (0.806-1.35)	1.17 (0.886-1.56)	1.30 (0.961-1.79)	1.49 (1.05-2.13)	1.64 (1.12-2.43)
2-hr	0.531 (0.442-0.644)	0.681 (0.566-0.827)	0.878 (0.728-1.07)	1.04 (0.856-1.28)	1.26 (1.00-1.60)	1.43 (1.11-1.86)	1.61 (1.22-2.14)	1.79 (1.32-2.45)	2.04 (1.44-2.92)	2.23 (1.52-3.31)
3-hr	0.654 (0.544-0.793)	0.835 (0.694-1.01)	1.07 (0.890-1.31)	1.27 (1.04-1.56)	1.53 (1.22-1.95)	1.74 (1.35-2.26)	1.95 (1.48-2.60)	2.17 (1.60-2.97)	2.46 (1.74-3.53)	2.69 (1.84-3.99)
6-hr	0.909 (0.757-1.10)	1.16 (0.964-1.41)	1.49 (1.23-1.81)	1.75 (1.44-2.15)	2.12 (1.68-2.69)	2.40 (1.86-3.11)	2.68 (2.03-3.57)	2.97 (2.19-4.08)	3.37 (2.38-4.83)	3.68 (2.51-5.46)
12-hr	1.20 (1.00-1.46)	1.54 (1.28-1.87)	1.98 (1.64-2.41)	2.34 (1.92-2.87)	2.82 (2.24-3.58)	3.19 (2.48-4.14)	3.57 (2.70-4.75)	3.95 (2.91-5.42)	4.47 (3.16-6.40)	4.88 (3.32-7.23)
24-hr	1.60 (1.42-1.84)	2.06 (1.82-2.38)	2.67 (2.35-3.09)	3.16 (2.77-3.69)	3.83 (3.24-4.61)	4.33 (3.59-5.33)	4.85 (3.93-6.10)	5.37 (4.23-6.95)	6.08 (4.60-8.20)	6.63 (4.85-9.24)
2-day	1.94 (1.71-2.23)	2.54 (2.24-2.93)	3.33 (2.94-3.85)	3.97 (3.48-4.63)	4.85 (4.11-5.84)	5.52 (4.58-6.79)	6.21 (5.03-7.82)	6.91 (5.45-8.95)	7.87 (5.96-10.6)	8.61 (6.30-12.0)
3-day	2.06 (1.83-2.38)	2.75 (2.43-3.17)	3.66 (3.22-4.23)	4.40 (3.85-5.13)	5.43 (4.60-6.54)	6.22 (5.16-7.65)	7.03 (5.70-8.86)	7.88 (6.21-10.2)	9.03 (6.84-12.2)	9.94 (7.27-13.9)
4-day	2.20 (1.95-2.54)	2.96 (2.62-3.42)	3.97 (3.51-4.60)	4.81 (4.21-5.61)	5.97 (5.05-7.19)	6.87 (5.70-8.45)	7.80 (6.32-9.82)	8.76 (6.91-11.3)	10.1 (7.64-13.6)	11.1 (8.15-15.5)
7-day	2.53 (2.24-2.91)	3.44 (3.04-3.97)	4.66 (4.11-5.39)	5.67 (4.96-6.62)	7.08 (5.99-8.53)	8.17 (6.78-10.1)	9.31 (7.54-11.7)	10.5 (8.27-13.6)	12.1 (9.17-16.3)	13.4 (9.81-18.7)
10-day	2.74 (2.42-3.16)	3.76 (3.32-4.34)	5.12 (4.52-5.93)	6.26 (5.48-7.30)	7.84 (6.64-9.44)	9.08 (7.53-11.2)	10.4 (8.39-13.0)	11.7 (9.22-15.1)	13.5 (10.3-18.3)	15.0 (11.0-20.9)
20-day	3.33 (2.95-3.84)	4.62 (4.09-5.33)	6.36 (5.61-7.36)	7.82 (6.84-9.12)	9.86 (8.35-11.9)	11.5 (9.52-14.1)	13.1 (10.6-16.6)	14.9 (11.8-19.3)	17.4 (13.1-23.4)	19.3 (14.1-26.9)
30-day	3.95 (3.49-4.55)	5.49 (4.85-6.33)	7.57 (6.68-8.76)	9.33 (8.16-10.9)	11.8 (9.99-14.2)	13.7 (11.4-16.9)	15.8 (12.8-19.9)	17.9 (14.1-23.2)	20.9 (15.8-28.2)	23.3 (17.1-32.5)
45-day	4.72 (4.18-5.44)	6.54 (5.78-7.54)	9.01 (7.94-10.4)	11.1 (9.70-12.9)	14.0 (11.9-16.9)	16.4 (13.6-20.1)	18.8 (15.3-23.7)	21.4 (16.9-27.7)	25.1 (19.0-33.8)	28.0 (20.5-39.0)
60-day	5.52 (4.89-6.36)	7.58 (6.71-8.75)	10.4 (9.17-12.0)	12.8 (11.2-14.9)	16.1 (13.7-19.5)	18.8 (15.6-23.2)	21.7 (17.6-27.3)	24.7 (19.4-31.9)	28.9 (21.8-38.9)	32.2 (23.6-45.0)





¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS). Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

[Back to Top](#)

PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves


Latitude: 34.0574°, Longitude: -117.2994°



[Back to Top](#)

Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain




Large scale terrain



Large scale map



Large scale aerial



[Back to Top](#)

[US Department of Commerce](#)
[National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](#)
[National Weather Service](#)
[National Water Center](#)
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov

[Disclaimer](#)